Just to recall:

Name and define all grammars and automata in the Chomsky hierarchy:

- FSA RG
- PDA CFG
- LBA CSG
- TM G0

Explain the reasons for vertical (automata – grammars) and horizontal (FSA – TM)

split.

Define formally the finite state automaton.

Practice:

Design a finite state automaton that counts a tennis score.

Design finite state automata working with the alphabet $\{a,b\}$, that accept the following words:

- the number of letters "a" is divisible by 3,
- the number of letters "a" is divisible by 2,
- the number of letters "a" is divisible by 3 or by 2,
- the number of letters ,,a" is divisible by 3 and by 2,
- the number of letters "a" is divisible by 3 but not by 2,
- finishes by "baba",
- contains "baba",
- starts with ,,baba",
- finishes by ",b" and its length is (3k+1),
- finishes by "b" or its length is (3k+1),
- finishes by two or more letters "b" that are immediately preceded by at least one letter "a",
- its length is at least 2 and the first and the last letter in the word are identical,
- the first and the last letter in the word are identical,
- its length is at least 4 and the first two letters are identical to the last two letters,

b)

• the first two letters are identical to the last two letters.

What are the following automata doing (describe the language)?

į	a)			
		0	1	
	⇔q ₀	q_1	q_0	
	q_1	q_2	q_1	
	q ₂	q_0	q_2	

	0	1
$\rightarrow q_0$	q_1	q_0
←q1	q_2	q_1
← q ₂	q_0	q_2

c)			
	0	1	
$\rightarrow q_0$	q_0	q_1	
q_1	\mathbf{q}_0	q_2	
← q ₂	q_0	q_2	

d)				
		0	1	
	$\rightarrow q_0$	q_0	q_1	
	← q ₁	q_2	q_1	
	← q ₂	q_0	q_1	

Just to recall:

Formulate the Myhill-Nerode Theorem and the Pumping Lemma. Define the state equivalence.

Practice:

Decide and prove if the following languages are regular

- $0^k 1^l, k \le l$ •
- $0^{k}1^{k}$ •
- $1^{k}0^{k}1^{1}$ •
- $1^{k}0^{l}1^{k}$ •
- $1^{k}1^{k}0^{l}$ •
- $1^{k}1^{l}0^{k}$ •
- $0^n 1^m$ for $n \le m \le 2n$ •
- $0^n 1^m 0^n$ for $m \le n$ •
- ww, w $\in \{0,1\}^*$
- w, $w \in \{0,1\}^*$ and w contains identical counts of letters 0 and 1
- ww^R, w $\in \{a\}^*$
- a^{2n}
- a^{2^n}
- a^{n^2}
- a^p, p is a prime number
- aⁱbⁱcⁱ •
- aⁱbⁱc^j pro i<j •

Find all equivalent states in the following automata:

a)		
	а	b
⇔0	0	5
1	1	3
2	2	7
3	3	2
←4	6	1
5	5	1
←6	4	2
7	7	0

)		
	b	а
А	F	Α
В	Α	В
С	D	С
D	В	D
Е	С	Е
⇔F	Е	F

c)		
	а	b
→1	2	3
2	2	4
←3	3	5
4	2	7
←5	6	3
←6	6	6
7	7	4
8	2	3
9	9	4

d)		
	b	а
Α	G	Н
В	Α	В
С	D	Е
D	В	D
E	D	С
F	Е	F
⇔G	F	G
Н	G	Α

e)	

	а	b
⇔0	1	2
1	3	0
2	4	5
3	0	2
4	2	5
5	0	3

f)			
	а	b	
→0	1	2	
1	0	3	
2	4	1	
3	0	1	
←4	2	2	
5	4	3	

g)		
	а	b
⇔0	0	1
←1	2	3

	"	U U
⇔0	0	1
←1	2	3
2	3	4
3	1	0
4	3	2

Just to recall and to think about:

- 1) The Pumping lemma as formulated in the lecture assumes that the part to iterate is located at the beginning of the world $(|uv| \le n)$. Think if it is possible to formulate the Pumping lemma, where:
 - the part to iterate is located anywhere in the world
 - the part to iterate is located at the end of the world
 - the part to iterate is located close to some given place in the world.
- 2) Does the Pumping lemma hold also for complements of regular languages? In particular "we can divide the word outside the regular language in such a way that we can iterate the "middle" part and the resulting word is still outside the language"
- *3) Define formally the equivalence of automata. Is there any relation to state equivalence?*
- *4) What is a reduced finite state automaton? Define it.*

Practice:

Decide and prove if the following automata are equivalent:

a)			_	b)			_	<u>c)</u>	
	а	b			b	а			b
↔0	0	5		Α	F	Α		А	G
1	1	3		В	Α	В		В	Α
2	2	7		С	D	С		С	D
3	3	2		D	В	D		D	В
←4	6	1		E	С	Е		E	D
5	5	1		⇔F	Е	F		F	E
←6	4	2					-	⇔G	F
7	7	0						Н	G

What is the shortest word in automaton (a) that differentiates states 1 and 5? Are the more such words?

In the following automata find all the shortest words that differentiate a given pairs of states:

e) states 3 and 5			
	а	b	
⇔0	1	2	
1	3	0	
2	4	5	
3	0	2	
4	2	5	
5	0	3	

f) states 0 and 1			
	а	b	
→0	1	2	
1	0	3	
2	4	1	
3	0	1	
←4	2	2	
5	4	3	

g) states 2 and 4

a H B

Е

D C F G

<u> </u>			
	а	b	
↔0	0	1	
←1	2	3	
2	3	4	
3	1	0	
4	3	2	

Just to recall and to think about:

- 1) Which set and string operations have the closure property for regular languages?
- 2) Do we obtain a complement of language if we swap the role of accepting and nonaccepting states in a nondeterministic finite-state automaton?

Practice:

Convert the following automaton to a reduced finite state automaton:



Propose algorithms that decide if the following propositions hold:

- $L(A) = \emptyset$
- L(A) = L(B)
- $L(A) = X^*$
- $L(A)\subseteq L(B)$
- L(A) je nekonečný

Let $L = \{ab, c\}$. Describe the following languages: $L^+, L^*, (L^*)^*$

Propose a FSA accepting all words in the alphabet $\{a,b\}$ that do not contain the word "baba".

Let $X = \{0, 1\}$ be an alphabet. Design a FSA accepting words where the number if letters 0 is divisible by:

- 2
- 3
- 2 or 3
- 2 and 3
- *2 but not by 3.*

Let $L_1 = \{u | u \in \{0,1\} * \& | u|_0 = 2k\}$ and $L_2 = \{u | u \in \{0,1\} * \& | u|_0 = 3k\}$ be languages. Propose the smallest FSA accepting the language $L_2 \setminus L_1$.

What is the minimal number of states in a FSA that accepts the following language? $L_n = \{ w \mid w \in \{0,1\}^*, w = u1v, |v| = n-1 \}.$ What is the minimal number of states in a FSA that accepts the language $(L_n)^R$? Let the following automat accepts some language L:



Propose (nondeterministic) FSAs accepting the following languages:

- $L_1 = \{uv \mid uav \in L v \ ubv \in L\}$
- $L_2 = \{uv \mid uav \in L\}$
- $L_3 = \{uav \mid uv \in L\}$
- $L \cup L_1$, $L \cup L_2$, $L \cup L_2$

Just to recall and to think about:

- 1) What are the core algebraic operations to obtain all regular languages?
- 2) How is the language $\{\lambda\}$ composed from the elementary languages?
- *3) Is there any relation between the proof of the Kleene's theorem and all-pairs-shortest-path algorithms?*

Practice:

- 1) Write a regular expression whose value is a language in the alphabet {a,b} consisting exactly from the words that start with "ba" and finish with "ab". Convert the regular expression to a corresponding FSA.
- 2) Write a regular expression whose value is a language containing words a, a*a, a*a*a,....
- *3)* Convert the following regular expressions to FSAs accepting the languages that are values of these expressions:
 - *ab+ba*
 - a^2+b^2+ab
 - $a+b^*$
 - $(ab+c)^*$
 - $((ab+c)^+a(bc)^*+b)^*$
 - $((ab+c)^*a(bc)^*+b)^*$
 - $(01^* + 101)^* 0^* 1$
 - $(01)^* 1111(01)^* + (0+1)^* 000$

4) Convert the following automata to regular expressions such that the value of the expression is the language accepted by a given FSA:

a.b



Just to recall and to think about:

- 1) Regular expression is a word. Is the language consisting of all regular expressions acceptable by some finite state automaton?
- 2) How can a finite state automaton inform about its computation? What is the difference between Moore and Mealy machines?
- *3) What is the advantage of non-determinism and the possibility to move the reading head in both directions?*

Practice:

- 1) Let *L* be a regular language. Is the language {*u*| #*u*#∈*L*} also regular? Prove it! Note: The symbol "#" is a part of the alphabet.
- 2) Let L be a language accepted by a finite state automaton A. Construct a two-way (non-deterministic) finite state automaton accepting the following language:
 - $\{\#u\# \mid uu^R \in L\}$
 - $\{\#u\# \mid uu \in L\}$
 - $\{ \#u\# \mid uv \in L \& |u| = |v| \}$
 - $\{\#u\# \mid u=wv \& w^R wv \in L\}$

Convert the obtained automata to a finite state automata.

- 3) Design a Mealy machine working with the alphabet $\{0,1\}$ that inverts the input word $(0\rightarrow 1,1\rightarrow 0)$. Convert the machine to an equivalent Moore machine.
- *4)* Design a Mealy Machine working with the alphabet {0,1} that implements the following output function:

output 1, if the input symbol is a part of sequence of 1s, which is directly preceded by symbols 00, output 0 in all other cases.

Convert the Mealy machine to an equivalent Moore machine.

5) Design a formal machine that sums two binary numbers. Think about defining the input for such a machine.

Just to recall and to think about:

- *1) Explain the notion of a formal grammar.*
- 2) What is the difference between generative and analytical formal grammar?
- *3)* How are the types of grammars in the Chomsky hierarchy distinguished?
- 4) What is the reason for the names of context-sensitive and context-free grammars?
- 5) If we combine left-linear and right-linear rewriting rules do we obtain a grammar accepting a regular language?

Practice:

1) Design a formal grammar that generates the following language:

- $\{a^ib^i \mid i \ge 0\}$
- well-formed expressions with left and right brackets
- well-formed arithmetic expressions with a single constant "c", operations + and *, and left and right brackets
- $\{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* | w|_b = 3k\}$
- binary numbers that are multiples of 3
- $\{a^i b^i c^i \mid i \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^i b^j c^{i+j} \mid i, j \ge 0\}$
- $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid 0 \le i \le j \le k\}$
- $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i=j \ v \ j=k\}$
- $\{ww^R \mid w \in \{a,b\}^*\}$
- $\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
- $\{0^n 1^m 0^n \mid 0 \le m \le n\}$
- $\{0^n l^m \mid 0 \le n \le m \le 2n\}$
- a^{2^n}

2) Is the following grammar context-sensitive (the capital letters denote the nonterminal symbols)? Can the grammar be converted to a context-sensitive form?

 $S \rightarrow aSbA \mid \lambda$ $A \rightarrow aBbA \mid bCB \mid CD$ $B \rightarrow bbBa \mid aS$ $C \rightarrow aAaA \mid \lambda$ $D \rightarrow SC \mid aABb$

3) Convert the following FSA to a grammar that generates the language accepted by the FSA. What type of grammar do we obtain?



- 4) Convert the following grammar to a finite state automaton accepting the same language. Can any grammar be converted to an "equivalent" finite state automaton?
 - $\begin{array}{l} S \rightarrow abS \mid bbaA \mid \lambda \\ A \rightarrow abA \mid bB \mid C \\ B \rightarrow acS \mid bC \mid \lambda \\ C \rightarrow abb \mid bA \mid A \end{array}$

To recall and to think about:

- *1) Whatis the main difference between the reduced context-free grammar and reduced FSA?*
- 2) Assume a word generated by a given context-free grammar. Is the derivation for this word unique?
- *3)* Does the order of application of production rules influence the final generated word for a CFG? Explain.
- *4) Is there another way to describe how the word is derived (different from the derivation)?*

Practice:

1) The figure shows a syntax (derivation) tree for some context-free grammar G.



- What is the generated word given by this tree?
- Write a left derivation for this word.
- Write all the rewriting rules used in this syntax tree.
- *Can we say something about ambiguity of the grammar G?*

2) Reduce the following CFGs:

	$S \rightarrow aA \mid bB \mid aSa \mid bSb \mid \lambda$
$S \rightarrow aSb \mid aAbb \mid \lambda$	$A \rightarrow bCD \mid Dba$
$A \rightarrow aAB \mid bB$	$B \rightarrow Bb \mid AC$
$B \rightarrow aAb \mid BB$	$C \rightarrow aA \mid c$
$C \rightarrow CC \mid cS$	$D \rightarrow DE$
	$E \rightarrow \lambda$

3) Decide (and prove) if the following grammar G satisfies $L(G) = \emptyset$.

 $S \rightarrow aS \mid AB \mid CD$ $A \rightarrow aDb \mid AD \mid BC$ $B \rightarrow bSb \mid BB$ $C \rightarrow BA \mid ASb$ $D \rightarrow ABCD \mid \lambda$

To recall and to think about:

- *1) What is main difference between a pushdown automaton and a finite state automaton?*
- 2) Describe the mechanism how the pushdown automata accept words.
- *3) Is there any difference if the pushdown automaton accepts the works using an acceptance state or using an empty stack?*
- 4) Do deterministic pushdown automata accept the same class of languages as nondeterministic pushdown automata?

Practice:

- 1) Design pushdown automata accepting the following languages. For each automaton explore both types of accepting the words. If possible, try to design the automaton as a deterministic automaton. For the automata that use an empty stack to accept the words, try to design an automaton with a single state.
 - $\{0^n l^m \mid 0 \le n \le m\}$
 - $\{wcw^R \mid w \in \{a,b\}^*\}$
 - $\{ww^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
 - $\{w \mid w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \ w \downarrow_{a,b} = uu^R\}$, where $w \downarrow_{a,b}$ is a word w, where all symbols different from "a" and "b" were removed
 - $\{w \mid w \in \{a, b\}^* |w|_b = |w|_a\}$
 - { $ucv \mid u, v \in \{a, b\}^* |u| \neq |v|$ }
 - $L_i = \{ucv \mid u, v \in \{a, b\} \}$ * u and v are different in the *i*-th symbol from the left $\}$
 - $\{ucv \mid u, v \in \{a, b\} * u \neq v\}$
 - well-formed bracketed expression (such as "(())()")
 - $\{a^i b^i \mid i \ge 0\}$
 - $\{a^i b^j c^{i+j} \mid i, j \ge 0\}$
 - $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid i=j \ v \ j=k\}$

2) Convert the following grammar G to a pushdown automata accepting language L(G) using the acceptance states. Show, how the automaton accepts the word $(a+a)^*a$.

 $E \rightarrow E + T \mid T$ $T \rightarrow T^*F \mid F$ $F \rightarrow (E) \mid a$

3) Take the context-free grammars from Exercise 7 and convert them to pushdown automata.

To recall and to think about:

- 1) What is typical for derivations done with the grammar in the Greibach normal form is used?
- 2) What is typical for syntax trees for grammars in the Chomsky normal form is used?
- *3)* What is the relation between the depth of the syntax tree for grammars in the Chomsky normal form and the length of the generated word?
- 4) Formulate and prove the pumping lemma for context-free languages.
- 5) Formulate and prove the pumping lemma for linear languages (use the ideas from *CFL*).

Practice:

1) Convert the following grammars to the Chomsky normal form:

$S \rightarrow A \mid 0SA \mid \lambda$	$S \rightarrow 0A10B11$
$A \rightarrow lA \mid l \mid Bl$	$A \rightarrow 0A1 \mid \lambda$
$B \rightarrow 0B \mid 0 \mid \lambda$	$B \rightarrow 0B11 \mid \lambda$

2) Convert the following grammar to the Greibach normal form:

$$S \rightarrow (E)$$

$$E \rightarrow F + F \mid F^*F$$

$$F \rightarrow a \mid S$$

- 3) Decide and prove if the these languages are context-free:
 - $\{a^i b^i c^i \mid i \ge 0\}$
 - $\{a^i b^j c^k \mid 0 \le i \le j \le k\}$
 - $\{a^i b^j c^{i+j} \mid i, j \ge 0\}$
 - $\{ww^R \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
 - $\{ww \mid w \in \{a, b\}^*\}$
 - $\{0^n l^m 0^n \mid 0 \le m \le n\}$
 - $\{0^n 1^m \mid 0 \le n \le m \le 2n\}$
 - $\{0^n 1^n 0^n 1^n \mid 0 \le n\}$
 - $\{0^i l^j 0^i l^j \mid 0 \le i \le j\}$
 - a^{2^n}
 - a^{n^2}